

## 27 Respondents

(Absolute)

### Walthamstow West Community Council Meeting 6 October 2008 Evaluation Form

#### How often have you attended Community Council meetings?

This is my first meeting	67% (18)	I have been a few times	19% (5)
I have attended once before	0%	I have been to most meetings	15% (4)

#### Was the venue suitable?

Yes 81% (22) No 11% (3)

If no, please explain why the venue was unsuitable  
11% (3)

Separate rooms to be provided for each ward to debate issues.  
But very hot.  
Inappropriate to be in an Academy which sucks money from  
the majority of kids and have higher exclusion of BME students  
than average.

#### Tell us why you attended the meeting this evening? Please tick all options that apply.

To raise an issue / ask a question	30% (8)	To meet other local residents	19% (5)
To meet your local councillors	26% (7)	To help improve your local area	56% (15)
To see a particular presentation	11% (3)	General interest	26% (7)
To meet your local police officers	11% (3)	To find out what is going on in your local area	44% (12)
To vote on spend options	48% (13)	Other	4% (1)

If other, please specify

To vote on Kitchener Road/Cheshire Close "Gate".

#### Were the written agenda and papers easy to read?

Yes 85% (23) No 15% (4)

If no, please give suggestions for improvement  
22% (6)

Information sheet was unorganised. P1 should be dedicated to 1 ward - there was no full information.  
If you are printing the paper in colour then colour code items for each ward to make items easy to identify.  
Difficult to follow.  
Put them in the right order.  
Very clear and easy.  
But I didn't get my own copy as arrived too late (not very late).

**How satisfied were you with the responses to comments brought forward from the last meeting?**

Very satisfied	11% (3)	Dissatisfied	11% (3)
Satisfied	41% (11)	Very dissatisfied	11% (3)

If dissatisfied, please tell us how you think this feedback session can be improved.

19% (5)

With clear English, not too much information but make points.

Not at last meeting.

No time was available for discussion.

No time for proper discussion, very confused as voting took much longer than scheduled BUT STILL NO TIME FOR DISCUSSION OF PROJECTS to be votes on!

**How satisfied were you with the presentation(s) this evening?**

Very satisfied	15% (4)	Dissatisfied	22% (6)
Satisfied	19% (5)	Very dissatisfied	26% (7)

**If dissatisfied, please give reasons**

59% (16)

The meeting should be broken out by ward into a more in-depth discussion. Bidders should be given more opportunity to put their case, but I do realise that this is an evolving format - thank organisations for your efforts.

Projects needed explanation about their values.

Obviously needed more time for consultation on ward projects. re. Chapel End/Cheshire Close - only project affecting residents and residents needed to discuss this in depth. Many do not want it.

I've never attended such a disorganised meeting. Chair talk too much. Should concentrate on organising but not putting his opinion forward or force to progress with the meeting.

No opportunity for period of discussion or specific issues. Asked to vote without discussion.

Total shambles. No organisation. Got heated when voting was to happen.

Residents were not given the time to discuss the issues amongst themselves in a ? manner. Maybe the forum should have been on a separately day due to the large response of residents' attendance.

A complete shambles.

Very hit and miss.

The shambolic reap through of proposals.

The meeting voted on hearing the Councillors discussions where upon the Councillors conducted the discussion amongst themselves - what a farce!

Tonight I attended a meeting that turned out in my opinion that was a complete

farce!

SHAMBLES - very confused. However, printed pages (1-11) describing projects (to be voted on) were well laid out. Shame they were presented badly.

Complete chaos.

What presentations.

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements about Community Councils?**

	Agree	Partially agree	Disagree
They improve the communication link between yourself and the council	37% (10)	22% (6)	19% (5)
They inform you of local issues	56% (15)	15% (4)	11% (3)
They enable you to raise local issues during the community forum	33% (9)	33% (9)	11% (3)

**How satisfied are you with your Community Council?**

Very satisfied	4% (1)	Dissatisfied	15% (4)
Satisfied	48% (13)	Very dissatisfied	19% (5)

If dissatisfied, please give reasons  
26% (7)

We were asked to vote without any prior discussion.

This is the first meeting I have attended. If this is how all other meetings are conducted then it is a shambles.

No chance for a fair discussion. Did not seem interested in objections being raised.

No discussion, thought there was going to be some sort of conversation. Deferred decision, not a good sign.

Complete chaos, not properly prepared. Cllrs do what they want anyway. No democratic procedures - a complete farce!

This evening was taken mainly on 1 issue which should have been dealt with at a meeting of its own. Where we could have heard those people speak about theme projects at another bldg. Normally items usually at this forum.

CHAOS this evening - Community forum should not have been deleted from agenda. Should have realised a room full of people could not listen to "?" conversations around 1 table!!

**Are you likely to attend a future Community Council meeting?**

Yes 78% (21) No 7% (2)

If no, please tell us why?

15% (4)

Not fair process at all.

If run better abled to discuss issues amongst each other. Was addressed at meeting

People come to raise issues - very frustrating to drop this when stated on Agenda!

Should not have expected to get through voting and community forum. Suggest

should have stated issues could only be submitted in writing mtg.

A waste of time.

**How did you find out about this meeting?**

Leaflet dropped through your door	7% (2)	Poster on council notice board	4% (1)
Advertisement in local newspaper	7% (2)	WFM (council magazine)	7% (2)
Letter and agenda posted	19%(5)	Email	19% (5)
Council web site	4% (1)	Word of mouth	37% (10)

**EQUALITIES MONITORING INFORMATION**  
**What is your ethnic group, please tick one box**

**White**

British.....	59% (16)	Greek / Greek Cypriot.....	0%
Irish.....	4% (1)	Kosovan .....	0%
Albanian.....	0%	Turkish / Turkish Cypriot .....	0%

Please specify any other White background

4% (1)

**Black or Black British**

African	0%	Somali	0%
Ghanaian	0%	South African	0%
Kenyan	0%	Caribbean	4% (1)
Nigerian	0%		

Please specify any other Black background

0%

**Dual or Multiple Heritage**

White and Asian	0%	White and Black Caribbean	0%
White and Black African	0%		

Please specify any other dual or multiple heritage

0%

**Asian or Asian British**

Bangladeshi	0%	Mauritian	0%
Indian	0%	Sri Lankan	0%

Pakistani 11% (3)  
 Please specify any other Asian background  
 4% (1) Anglo Indian

**Chinese or Other Ethnic Group**

Chinese 0%  
 Please specify any other ethnic background  
 0%

**Do you consider yourself to have a disability as defined in the Disability Discrimination Act 1995. The Act defines disability as: "a physical or mental impairment which has substantial and long term effect on a person's ability to carry out normal day to day activities".**

Yes 19% (5) No 37% (10)

**If 'YES' please identify which type of disability from the options below**

Hearing disability	0%	Physical disability	7% (3)
Learning disability	0%	Visual disability	0%
Other please specify	0%		

**Do you have a mental health issue or are you a user of mental health services?**

Yes 11% (3) No 52% (14)

**Are you ? Please tick one box**

Female	33% (9)	Transgender	0%
Male	41% (11)		

**To which one of the following age groups do you belong?**

18 - 24.....	0%	55 - 64 .....	11% (3)
25 - 34.....	4% (1)	65 - 74.....	19% (5)
35 - 44.....	19% (5)	75+.....	4% (1)
45 - 54.....	22% (6)		

**What is your religion?**

Buddhist	4% (1)	Muslim	11% (3)
Christian	41% (11)	Sikh	4% (1)
Hindu	0%	None	11% (3)
Jewish	0%	Other	0%

If other, please specify

**What is your sexual orientation?**

Bisexual	0%	Lesbian	0%
Gay man	0%		
Heterosexual	52% (14)	Prefer not to say	11% (3)