Walthamstow West Community Council Meeting 2 March 2009 Evaluation Form

How often have you attended Community Council meetings?

This is my first meeting58% (26) I have been a few times16% (7)I have attended once before11% (5) I have been to most meetings 13% (6)

Was the venue suitable?

Yes 76% **(34)** No 22% (10) If no, please explain why the venue was unsuitable 29% (13) No bicycle parking, a bit small. Too small. Difficult to see and hear, not enough seats. Could have been larger! Too small - overcrowded - left standing. Not enough seats. By far too small about 50 people had to stand. Not enough seats - bad back. Seemed small due to numbers. Too small, shortage of seats. But acoustics were not very good. May need to reconsider where the chair and speakers stand. Too small - not enough seats. Not enough seats for participants. Not enough seats for the attending people.

Tell us why you attended the meeting this evening? Please tick all options that apply.

To raise an issue / ask a question	38% (17)	To meet other local residents	5 18% (8)
To meet your local councillors	11% (5)	To help improve your local	44% (20)
		area	
To see a particular presentation	20% (9)	General interest	33% (15)
To meet your local police officers	4% (2)	To find out what is going on in your local area	56% (25)
To vote on spend options	2% (1)	Other	11% (5)

If other, please specify

80% (4)

For a specific issue, closure of St James Library/open of drug users group.

Governer Williowfield School, in case consultation on new site

for school raised.

Drug centre to library, Coppermill.

Library Coppermill Lane.

Were the written agenda and papers easy to read?

Yes 87% (39) No 2% (1) If no, please give suggestions for improvement 13% (6) We found the agenda and minutes quite confusing. Bigger type, easier words and more pictures would have helped. No agendas left. Did not see one. Didn't see any. Compared to Hackney, they were awlful. Not clear what substance of meeting was about - not clear English - council speak!

How satisfied were you with the responses to comments brought forward from the last meeting?

9% (4) Very satisfied Dissatisfied 2% (1) Satisfied 56% (25) Verv dissatisfied 2% (1) If dissatisfied, please tell us how you think this feedback session can be improved. 11% (5) Need to see an action plan to regenerate the area as requested. Give people more time and be less partronising. I could not see any feedback session. However, we left without proper answer! N/A didn't attend last meeting.

How satisfied were you with the presentation(s) this evening?

Very satisfied	22% (10)	Dissatisfied	13% (6)
Satisfied	51% (23)	Very dissatisfied	2% (1)
		-	

If dissatisfied, please give reasons

22% **(10)**

Too many lecturers not enough time to ask questions and inadequate answers to questions.

Need to see a action plan to regenerate the area as requested.

Not enough time given for people to pose questions. Some of the speakers patronising.

The NLWA presentation was superficially gloss over real issues and did not explain the implication for Waltham Forest residents - the councillors in effect provided a platform for the NLWA to further.

The drug treatment center planned at the St. James Library was not discussed enough! Not transparent at all how this came to pass and how this will be taken further.

Never satisfactory answers to very specific questions.

Raised more questions than answers through use of acronyms and opaque jargon.

Vague, told us very little that could not have read in local paper.

Vague, told us little that could not be read in the local press.

Should have had the presentation about the drugs center first as majority of residents had come to hear about this.

To what extent do you agree with the following statements about Community Councils?

They improve the communication link between yourself and the council	Agree 60% (27)	Partially agree 27% (12)	Disagree 11% (5)
They inform you of local issues	60% (27)	29% (13)	7% (3)
They enable you to raise local issues during the community forum	62% (28)	24% (11)	11% (5)

How satisfied are you with your Community Council?

Very satisfied	16%	
Satisfied	44%	(20)

Diss	satisfi	ed		24%	(11))
					1-1	

Very dissatisfied 4% (2)

If dissatisfied, please give reasons

27% (12)

We did not feel that the council was very accessible to people with learning difficulties but was generally OK.

Residents do not seem to have a voice e.g. St James's Library was shut due to popular local opinion it should stay open. Why are our arts & leisure declining? Being replaced by a drug action centre?

More time needs to be allocated to different local issues. Too much time is being taken upon 1 issue. The formal presentations are unnecessary as WFM can cover those issues. Restructure to enable 4/5 items each meeting to be discussed or increase the number of meetings.

There is no evidence of regeneration in the area! Drug centre, cinema, etc. shopping as well.

Have to comment - never been involved with before. Need more information, advertising, etc.

Cllr Patrick Smith places more importance on the agenda of this meeting and High Street ward than his own ward.

There is too much time allocated to let councillors talk on end, taking time away from residents speaking up.

Tries to cover too much, to its own agenda. Covers to wide an area to deal with local issues adequately in the time available.

Because they need to make the Coppermill library, except for a drug centre. Do not listen to residents. Closure of cultural and community facilities. Lack of meaniful consultations.

I'm not confident we are told all the facts. We are given selected information sometimes inadequate.

This is only my first meeting, therefore I do not feel I can provide an informed answer.

Are you likely to attend a future Community Council meeting?

96% (43) No 2% (1)

If no, please tell us why?

7% **(3)**

Yes

In hopes of a popular revolution against the council actually starting (before the pubs shut, as the old adage has it).

There is no point they would never listen to us.

The microphone was poor.

How did you find out about this meeting?

Leaflet dropped through your door	7% (3)	Poster on council notice board	0% (0)
Advertisement in local newspaper	7% (3)	WFM (council magazine)	7% (3)
Letter and agenda posted	18% (8)	Email	16% (7)
Council web site	4% (2)	Word of mouth	53% (24)
Council web site	→ /0 (∠)		5570 (2 7)

EQUALITIES MONITORING INFORMATION

What is your ethnic group, please tick one box

British 47% (21) Greek / Greek Cypriot 2% (1) Irish...... 4% (2)2 Albanian...... 0% (0) Turkish / Turkish Cypriot...... 0% (0) Please specify any other White background 9% Austrian European. Anglo-Scandanavian. **Black or Black British** 2% (1) African Somali 0% **(0**) Ghanaian 0% (**0**) South African 0% (0) 0% **(0)** Caribbean 4% **(2)** Kenyan 0% (0) Nigerian Please specify any other Black background 0% **(0)** Dual or Multiple Heritage White and Asian 0% **(0)** White and Black Caribbean 0% (0) White and Black 0% **(0)** African Please specify any other dual or multiple heritage 0% **(0)** Asian or Asian British Bangladeshi 0% (0) Mauritian 0% (0) 0% (0) Indian Sri Lankan 0% **(0)** Pakistani 11% (5) Please specify any other Asian background 2% (1) Kashmiri Chinese or Other Ethnic Group Chinese 2% (1) Please specify any other ethnic background 0% **(0)** Do you consider yourself to have a disability as defined in the Disability Discrimination Act 1995. The Act defines disability as: "a physical or mental impairment which has substantial and long term effect on a person's ability to carry out normal day to day activities". 24% (11) No 49% (22) Yes If 'YES' please identify which type of disability from the options below Hearing disability 2% (1) Physical disability 7% **(3)** Learning disability 2% (1) Visual disability 0% (0) Other please specify 0% **(0)** Do you have a mental health issue or are you a user of mental health services? Yes 18% **(8)** No 56% (25) Are you ? Please tick one box Female 42% (19) Transgender 0% **(0)** Male 36% (16)

White

To which one of the	following age	groups do you	ı belo	ong?
18 - 24 79	% (3)	55 - 64	11%	(5)
25 - 34 99	% (4)	65 - 74	9%	(4)
35 - 44 20	% (9)	75+	2%	(1)
<i>45 - 54</i> 27	% (12)			
What is your religion	1?			
Buddhist	0% (0)	Muslim	9%	(4)
Christian	27% (12)	Sikh	0%	(0)
Hindu	4% (2)	None	27%	(12)
Jewish	4% (2)	Other	4%	(2)
If other places energify				

Jewish	4%	(2)	Other	4%
If other, please specify				
0%				

What is your sexual orientation?

Bisexual	2% (1)	Lesbian	2% (1)
Gay man	2% (1)	Prefer not to say	7% (3)
Heterosexual	60% (27)		